Relation between BRAF V600E mutation and ¹⁸F-FDG avidity in radioiodine refractory differentiated thyroid carcinoma

Mai Hong Son, Nguyen Thi Nhung, Hoang Quoc Truong, Ngo Thi Minh Hanh, Le Ngoc Ha 108 Military Central Hospital

Summary

Objective: The BRAF V600E mutation is one of the prognostic factors in thyroid carcinoma related to GLUT-1 expression which increases FDG uptake. In this study, we investigated the relationship between BRAF V600E mutation, clinicopathologic factors and ¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) avidity in radioiodine-refractory differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC). Subject and method: Total 46 radioiodinerefractory DTC patients who underwent BRAF V600E analysis from the biopsy and ¹⁸F-FDG positron emission tomography/computed tomography from 2011 to 2018. Semi-guantitative analysis of highest hypermetabolic lesion was accessed by automated polygonal regions of interest (ROIs) drawing on attenuation-corrected PET images the Workstation AW4.7 (GE). The relationship between BRAF mutation, clinicopathologic factors, and ¹⁸F-FDG avidity was investigated. *Result:* Patients with the *BRAF* V600E mutation present higher ¹⁸F-FDG uptake (median of SUVmax: 7.11) than those without mutation (median of SUVmax: 4.91) but the difference is not statistic significance (p=0.236). The tumor size (p=0.006) and distant metastases (0.03) were significantly associated with ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in univariate analysis. Aggressive histopathologic type of DTC was only the factor (p = 0.01) related to FDG uptake significantly (p=0.01) in both univariate and multivariate analysis. *Conclusion*: The aggressive and classic type of DTC were the factors significantly related to ¹⁸F-FDG avidity in both univariate and multivariate analysis. The effect BRAF V600E of mutation on glucose metabolism in radio iodine refractory patients needs further study in larger groups of patients.

Keywords: ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose, positron-emission tomography, *BRAF* V600E mutation, histopathologic type, radioiodine refractory.

1. Background

Thyroid carcinoma is one of the most popular endocrine cancer worldwide. Among common thyroid cancer types, differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) has taken into account of 90% [1]. However, there is 5% of DTC patients developed more aggressive disease with radioiodine-refractory therapy, often becoming the cause of mortality tumor recurrence and distant metastases [2]. These patients were predicted to have poorer prognosis and absence of an absolute effective treatment among surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy and target therapy. As a result, risk stratification and prognostic evaluation should be focused by nuclear physicians to define the appropriate treatment modality for these patients.

Correspondence to: Mai Hong Son - Department of Nuclear Medicine, 108 Military Central Hospital Email: alex.hong.son@gmail.com

¹⁸F fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) is a noninvasive diagnostic modality benefit for localize residual, recurrent disease and particularly when iodine avid has been lost [3], [4]. BRAF V600E mutation have been revealed in variety of cancers including thyroid cancer with radioiodine refractory therapy. As a prognostic factor, BRAF V600E mutation and FDG uptake on PET/CT could provide additional information for risk stratification and prognosis in group of DTC patients. Recent studies showed the relationship between BRAF V600E mutation and FDG uptake in papillary DTC patient [5]. The BRAF V600E mutation was related to GLUT-1 expression which increases FDG uptake [6]. However, the role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and BRAF mutation in risk stratification and prognosis in group of radioiodine - refractory therapy patients has not been studied well. Based on the results of previous studies, our hypothesis was that the BRAF mutation may increase the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in radioiodine refractory patients. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between BRAF mutation status and ¹⁸F-FDG avidity in radioiodine - refractory patients.

2. Subject and method

Approving from the thyroid cancer tumor board of 108 Military Central Hospital from 2015 - 2018, 46 consecutive patients with diagnose of radioiodine refractory differentiated thyroid carcinoma were recruited retrospectively. Diagnosis of radioiodine refractory DTC was based on American Thyroid Associate (ATA) 2015 including one of criteria [7]. Total of 46 radioiodine refractory patients underwent whole body ¹⁸F - FDG PET/CT for restaging and risk stratification before selection of treatment strategies. Recurrent and or metastatic lesions on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was biopsied and analized *BRAF* V600E mutation.

PET/CT examination was performed, using GE Discovery Lightspeed scan (STE) and Discovery 710,

37

according to the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) guidelines, version 1.0 [7]. For patient preparation, the serum glucose level was checked to exclude hyperglycemia. Afterwards, the patients were allowed to rest in the waiting room before intravenous injection of 2.5MBg/kg body weight (±10%) of ¹⁸F-FDG. Contrast enhancement CT was used with 100ml of the contrast material was used with a scan delay of 30s and an injection rate of 3ml/s from the skull base to the mid-thigh were performed 60 min after ¹⁸F-FDG injection. The parameters of CT scan were as follows: 120kVp, 100mA, helical thickness of 3.75mm and 0.5s/rotation. PET images were reconstructed using an iterative algorithm with attenuation correction with CT.

Semi-quantitative analysis of highest hypermetabolic lesion were accessed by automated polygonal regions of interest (ROIs) drawing on attenuation-corrected PET images the Workstation (version 4.7, GE Healthcare). For lesions suspected malignant on CT images but do not show the visual uptake ¹⁸F-FDG on PET, the manual ROIs were drawn on contrast enhance CT and cloned to PET images to record the metabolic uptake. In cases of multiple malignant lesions, an ROI was drawn on the hottest lesions on PET. Maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) was calculated with the injected dose and patient's body weight.

BRAF V600E mutation was analyzed in formalinfixed paraffin-embedded tissues by using two methods including immunohistochemistry and realtime PCR in Department of Pathology, 108 Military Central Hospital.

The statistic software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 20.0 and GraphPad Prism (version 8.0, GraphPad software) have been used to analyze the data. The multivariate analysis was analyzed by logistic regression. All p-values were calculated by t-test, 2 tailed Mann-Whitney U test and Fisher extact test and p less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Result

3.1. Patient characteristics

Table 1. Clinicopathologic characteristics of radioiodine - refractory patients			
	_		

Cliniconethelesis characteristics of the petients	number	percent	
Clinicopathologic characteristics of the patients	(n = 46)	(%)	
Age (mean ± SD)	48.2 ± 15.4		
≤ 45	23	50	
> 45	23	50	
Gender			
Male	7	15.2	
Female	39	84.8	
Accumulative dose			
< 600mCi	41	89.1	
≥ 600mCi	5	10.9	
The time of I-131 treatment	2.96 ± 1.39 (minimum: 2,	maximum: 9)	
Some Tallovel ($ng/ml = moon + SD$)	285.1 ± 188.74		
	(minimum: 16.3; maximum: 1000)		
Recurrent/metastatic location			
Thyroid bed	12	26.1	
Cervical lymph node	42	91.3	
Distant metastases	9	19.6	
Histopathological type			
Classical type	28	60.9	
Aggressive type	18	39.1	
BRAF V600E mutation			
Positive	38	82.6	
Negative	8	17.4	
SUV (mean \pm SD, median/min/max)	9.46 ± 7.35; 6.5/1.9	8/36.2	

The ratio of patient under forty five years old and patients older than forty five years old were the same. The percentage of female patients contributed the major part of overall (84.8%). Among 46 patients in this study, the *BRAF* V600E mutation was prensent in 38 (82.6%) patients. In the histopathologic variants, the proportion of classical type was 60.9% higher than those of aggressive type (39.1%). Localization of recurrent and or metastatic lesions were seen mostly on cervical lymph node in

42/46 patients (91.3%) while the other lesions on thyroid bed and other organs were only in 12/46 patients (26.1%) and 9/46 (19.6%) respectively (Table 1).

3.2. Relationship between SUVmax, BRAF V600E mutation and histopathologic types



The ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of iodine refractory lesions were analyzed semi-quantitatively using SUVmax, the median SUVmax of the *BRAF* V600E mutationpositive tumors was not significantly higher than that of the *BRAF* mutation negative tumors (7.1 vs. 4.91, p=0.23, Mann-Whitney U test, Figure 1a). In stark contrast, the median SUVmax of the aggressive type of histopathology was significantly higher than median of the classic type (13.24 vs. 5.285, p=0.003, Mann-Whitney U test, Figure 1b).

all BRAF V600E positive and negative lesions.

There was no significant difference of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake between subgroup of classic type with *BRAF* V600E positive and *BRAF* V600E negative. However, we observed a significant difference of median of SUVmax when comparing between aggressive type thyroid carcinoma with and without *BRAF* V600E mutation lesions (13.2 vs. 5.6, p=0.03, Mann-Whitney U test, Figure 2).



V600E negative and positive group. The median of SUVmax in group of *BRAF* V600E negative was lower

than that of *BRAF* V600E positive (7.5 vs 9.410, p=0.5145, Mann-Whitney U test, Figure 3).

Table 2. The relationship between BRAF V600E mutation and histopathological type of thyroid carcinoma

Histopathological type <i>BRAF</i> V600E		Differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC)				
		Classic type		Aggressive type*		n
		n	%	n	%	μ
BRAF Pos	Positive	23	82.1	15	83.3	0.622
	Negative	5	17.9	3	16.7	0.622
	Total	28	100	18	100	

In group of DTC classic type and aggressive type, the proportion of *BRAF* V600E mutation was 23/28 (82.1%) and 15/18 (83.3%) respectively. As a result, there was no significant difference between *BRAF* V600E mutation and histopathological type of DTC (p=0.622, Fisher extact test, Table 2).

Table 3. Unvariate and multiple variate analysis logistic regression analysis of the factors related to SUVmax (n = 46)

Factors	n	SUVmax (mean ± SD)	Univariate and p value	Multivariate and p value	
Age					
≤ 45	23	7.0 ± 1.5	0.026*	0.117	
> 45	23	11.9 ± 1.5	0.026*		
Gender					
Male	39	8.4 ± 7.06	0.02*	0.042*	
Female	7	15.0 ± 8.08	0.03*	0.043*	
Histopathological type					
Classic type	28	6.6 ± 1.26	0.01*	0.01*	
Aggressive type	18	13.9 ± 9.43	0.01		
Mutation					
BRAF V600E (+)	38	6.81 ± 4.15	0.270	0.244	
BRAF V600E (-)	8	10.01 ± 7.99	0.279		
Tg (ng/ml)					
≤ 40	3	8.8 ± 6.3	0.976	0.472	
> 40	43	9.5 ± 7.66	0.070	0.473	
Lesion's size					
5 - 10mm	27	6.94 ± 4.02	0.006**	0.091	
> 10mm	19	13.03 ± 9.77	0.000		
Recurrence/ metastases					
Local recurrence	12	14.6 ± 10.06	0.05	0.049*	
No local recurrence	34	7.6 ± 5.55	0.05	0.040	
Cervical lympho node	37	8.5 ± 6.51	0.097 0.214		
No cervical lympho node	9	13.2 ± 10.43			
Distant metastases	5	14.3 ± 8.51	0.03*	0.142	

JOURNAL OF 108 - CLINICAL MEDICINE AND PHARMACY

No distant metastases	41	8.3 ± 7.53

analyze the relationship То between clinicopathologic variables and SUV max in RAI refractory patient, the univariate and multivariate analysis were performed. Univariate analysis revealed the significant relation between SUVmax and age, lesion's size, distant metastases (p<0.05). Local recurrence is only the factor affected significantly to ¹⁸F-FDG uptake on PET in multivariate analysis. On the other hands, the gender and histopathologic type of thyroid carcinoma were independent factors associated with FDG uptake in RAI refractory patient in both multivariate and univariate analysis (p<0.05). In contrary, BRAF V600E mutation was not significantly associated with SUVmax (p=0.279. 0.244 in univariate and multivariate analysis).

4. Discussion

Our study indicated that the *BRAF* V600E mutation and other factors such as size, Tg, cervical, distant metastases were not related to SUVmax in comparison of median and univariate, multivariate analysis. The small number of patients in group of negative mutation in comparison with mutation positive (8/48 vs 38/48) might affect to the statistic analysis in comparison of mean, median and univariate, multivariate analysis. On the other hand, histopathologic types including classic type and aggressive type of thyroid carcinoma played significant role in the avidity of ¹⁸F-FDG.

The recent studies reported that ¹⁸F-FDG uptake is significantly higher for tumors with *BRAF* V600E mutations than for tumors that are negative *BRAF* V600E [8], [9], [10]. *BRAF* mutation inhibited the expression of sodium iodide symporter (NIS) which was playing an important role in iodine uptake [11], [12]. FDG-PET imaging was based on metabolic glucose in cancer cells which was related to it glucose transporters GLUT-1, GLUT-3, and GLUT-4 expressions. The hypothesis that *BRAF* mutation activated the MAPK pathway and stimulating GLUT-1, GLUT-3, and GLUT-4 expressions and increased FDG-uptake. In a meta-analysis study, there were 1144 patients including 843 patients with *BRAF* V600E positive and 301 with *BRAF* V600E negative showed that the *BRAF* V600E mutation had significantly greater chance of having ¹⁸F-FDG avid lesions.

Most of studies were investigated on primary papillary thyroid cancer patient otherwise the patients in our study were iodine refractory. Lee et al concluded that BRAF mutation was associated with over GLUT expression in both primary papillary and metastatic thyroid cancer. However, that study depicted the correlation between BRAF mutation and FDG uptake on cervical nodal metastases. Another study reported the inverse relationship between RAI and FDG uptake in patients with metastatic lesions of differentiated thyroid cancer. To our knowledge, the relationship between BRAF mutation and FDG avidity in radio iodine refractory patients with metastatic lesions are limited. In our study, local recurrence in radioiodine refractory patients was the factor affected significantly to ¹⁸F-FDG uptake on PET in multivariate analysis and distant metastases was the factor related to glucose metabolism in univariate analysis.

In both univariate and multivariate analysis, our study found that 18-FDG uptake on PET/CT were significantly affected by the histopathologic types including classic type and aggressive type of thyroid carcinoma. The largest group of patient contributed for iodine refractory and PET-positive supposed to be poor differentiated thyroid carcinoma and most of them presented with stage III or IV disease.

A flip-flop phenomenon was observed between radioiodine and FDG uptake in differentiated thyroid cancer cells [13]. A study highlighted the fact that aggressive thyroid cancer cells upregulated glucose transporter (GLUT) and reduced expression of sodium-iodide symporter (NIS) while the classic thyroid cancer cells maintaining the iodine avidity. This phenomenon proposed that FDG-PET/CT as efficient diagnostic tools in aggressive subtypes of thyroid cancer due to high FDG avidity. In those studies, the sensitivity of FDG-PET/CT was 100% in staging and postoperative staging. In aggressive thyroid tumor including poor differentiated thyroid carcinoma and anaplastic thyroid carcinoma presented chromosomal alteration, RAS, BRAF, TP53, and b-catenin mutations. These genetic pathways could affect to the different FDG avidity pattern in different histopathologic subtypes of thyroid cancer.

In this study, there was no significant difference of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake between subgroup of classic type with *BRAF* V600E positive and *BRAF* V600E negative. There is the possibility that a further study with larger sample size need to be performed to investigate the pattern of FDG uptake between subtypes of thyroid cancer including classic type and aggressive type.

5. Conclusion

Among the clinicopathologic factors, these data suggest that aggressive histopathologic type of thyroid carcinoma was significantly more ¹⁸FDG-avid than classic type. There was no relationship between *BRAF* V600E mutation and ¹⁸FDG uptake in tumor cell.

Acknowledgment

The study was supported by Ministry of Science and Technology. We thank all staffs in Nuclear Medicine Department for their assistance in analyzing data.

References

- 1. Mazzaferri EL, Jhiang SM (1994) *Long-term impact of initial surgical and medical therapy on papillary and follicular thyroid cancer*. Am J Med 97(5): 418-428.
- Durante C, Haddy N, Baudin E, Leboulleux S, Hartl D, Travagli JP et al (2006) Long-term outcome of 444 patients with distant metastases from papillary and follicular thyroid carcinoma: Benefits and limits of radioiodine therapy. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 91(8): 2892-2899.
- 3. Son MH, Bieu BQ, Ha LN (2016) Value of dedicated head and neck ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT protocol in detecting recurrent and metastatic lesions in postsurgical differentiated thyroid carcinoma patients with high serum thyroglobulin level and negative 1311 whole-body scan. Asia Oceania Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Biology 4(1): 12-18.
- 4. Caetano R, Bastos CR, de Oliveira IA, da Silva RM, Fortes CP, Pepe VL et al (2016) *Accuracy of*

positron emission tomography and positron emission tomography-CT in the detection of differentiated thyroid cancer recurrence with negative (131) I whole-body scan results: A metaanalysis. Head & neck 38(2): 316-327.

- Lee SH, Han S, Lee HS, Chae SY, Lee JJ, Song DE, et al (2016) Association between (18)F-FDG avidity and the BRAF mutation in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Nuclear medicine and molecular imaging 50(1): 38-45.
- 6. Mian C, Barollo S, Pennelli G, Pavan N, Rugge M, Pelizzo MR et al (2008) *Molecular characteristics in papillary thyroid cancers (PTCs) with no 1311 uptake*. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 68(1): 108-116.
- 7. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE et al (2016) *2015 American thyroid association management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: The American thyroid association guidelines task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer.* Thyroid 26(1): 1-133.
- 8. Yoon S, An Y-S, Lee SJ, So EY, Kim J-H, Chung Y-S et al (2015) *Relation between F-18 FDG uptake of PET/CT and BRAF V600E mutation in papillary thyroid cancer.* Medicine 94(48): 2063.
- 9. Yoon M, Jung SJ, Kim TH, Ha TK, Urm SH, Park JS, et al (2016) *Relationships between transporter expression and the status of BRAF V600E mutation and F-18 FDG uptake in papillary thyroid carcinomas.* Endocrine research 41(1): 64-69.
- 10. Ozderya A, Temizkan S, Gul AE, Ozugur S, Sargin M, Aydin K (2017) *Correlation of BRAF mutation and SUVmax levels in thyroid cancer patients incidentally detected in (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography.* Endocrine 55(1): 215-222.
- 11. Durante C, Puxeddu E, Ferretti E, Morisi R, Moretti S, Bruno R et al (2007) *BRAF mutations in papillary thyroid carcinomas inhibit genes involved in iodine metabolism.* The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 92(7): 2840-2843.
- 12. Romei C, Ciampi R, Faviana P, Agate L, Molinaro E, Bottici V et al (2008) *BRAF V600E mutation, but*

not RET/PTC rearrangements, is correlated with a lower expression of both thyroperoxidase and sodium iodide symporter genes in papillary thyroid cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 15(2): 511-520.

13. Feine U, Lietzenmayer R, Hanke JP, Held J, Wohrle H, Muller-Schauenburg W (1996) *Fluorine-18-FDG and iodine-131-iodide uptake in thyroid cancer.* Journal of nuclear medicine: Official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 37(9): 1468-1472.